IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §

VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:91-CR-118

JAMES RILEY CORNETT, JR. §

MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant James Riley Cornett, Jr., proceeding *pro se*, filed objections to the court order granting the government's motion to direct payment of funds. Defendant also moved to discharge his obligation to pay restitution, for a declaratory judgment that the forfeiture of his home was improper, and for an evidentiary hearing.

The court ordered that the objections and motions be referred to the Honorable Earl S. Hines, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The magistrate judge has submitted a Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. The magistrate judge recommends overruling defendant's objections to the order granting the government's motion to direct payment of funds. The magistrate judge also recommends denying defendant's motions to discharge his obligation to pay restitution, for a declaratory judgment that the forfeiture of his home was improper, and for an evidentiary hearing.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, along with the record, pleadings, and all available evidence. Defendant filed objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation.

The court has conducted a de novo review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and

the applicable law. See FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). After careful consideration, the court concludes the

objections are without merit.

ORDER

Accordingly, defendant's objections are OVERRULED. The findings of fact and

conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct and the report of the magistrate judge is

ADOPTED. Defendant's objections to the order granting the government's motion to direct payment

of funds are **OVERRULED**. Defendant's motions to discharge his obligation to pay restitution, for

a declaratory judgment that the forfeiture of his home was improper, and for an evidentiary hearing

are **DENIED**.

SIGNED this the 30 day of May, 2006.

Thad Heartfield

United States District Judge